

Table 5.6.3-I Assessment of Least Harm: Section C (Continued....)

Section 4(f) Resources	Alternative Alignment C2-Mod	Alternative Alignment C5	Alternative Alignment C6	Alternative Alignments C2-Mod and C6 w/ Valley Sports Fields Southern Avoidance Shift	Alternative Alignment C5 w/ Valley Sports Fields Southern Avoidance Shift
Cottonwood Park	Use: Acquisition of 4.8 hectares (11.8 acres) of the 10.9-hectare (27.0-acre) park; eliminate one walk-in entrance along Cottonwood Drive Mitigation: Relocation assistance, replacement of LAWCON-funded areas with land of equal value and function, compensation for land costs, explore landscape options	Use: Acquisition of 4.8 hectares (11.8 acres) of the 10.9-hectare (27.0-acre) park; eliminate one walk-in entrance along Cottonwood Drive Mitigation: Relocation assistance, replacement of LAWCON-funded areas with land of equal value and function, compensation for land costs, explore landscape options	Use: Acquisition of 4.8 hectares (11.8 acres) of the 10.9-hectare (27.0-acre) park; eliminate one walk-in entrance along Cottonwood Drive Mitigation: Relocation assistance, replacement of LAWCON-funded areas with land of equal value and function, compensation for land costs, explore landscape options	Use: Acquisition of 4.8 hectares (11.8 acres) of the 10.9-hectare (27.0-acre) park; eliminate one walk-in entrance along Cottonwood Drive Mitigation: Relocation assistance, replacement of LAWCON-funded areas with land of equal value and function, compensation for land costs, explore landscape options	Use: Acquisition of 4.8 hectares (11.8 acres) of the 10.9-hectare (27.0-acre) park; eliminate one walk-in entrance along Cottonwood Drive Mitigation: Relocation assistance, replacement of LAWCON-funded areas with land of equal value and function, compensation for land costs, explore landscape options
Valley Sports Fields	Use: Acquisition of 1.65 hectares (4.09 acres) of the 12.5-hectare (31.0-acre) park; no impact to facilities Mitigation: Relocation assistance, replacement or fair market compensation for Project 70 land, explore landscape options	Use: Acquisition of 1.68 hectares (4.16 acres) of the 12.5-hectare (31.0-acre) park; no impact to facilities Mitigation: Relocation assistance, replacement or fair market compensation for Project 70 land, explore landscape options	Use: Acquisition of 1.64 hectares (4.05 acres) of the 12.5-hectare (31.0-acre) park; no impact to facilities Mitigation: Relocation assistance, replacement or fair market compensation for Project 70 land, explore landscape options	None	None

5.7 Coordination

Extensive coordination has taken place with the general public, municipal officials, and the officials having jurisdiction over the Section 4(f) resources in the Pennsylvania High-speed Maglev project area.

Public scoping meetings for the project were held on October 23, 24, and 30 and November 1, 2001 in four locations throughout the proposed transportation corridor. These meetings were held to

introduce the proposed project to the public, to provide an overview of the environmental process, and to disseminate information on potential alternative alignments for the Pennsylvania High-speed Maglev Project. Meeting attendees were also asked to identify environmental and socioeconomic features within the region that were important to them. Four additional public meetings were held in the project area on January 29 and 30, and February 5 and 6, 2002. The purpose of these meetings was to display, for public review, the criteria that would be used to screen alternative alignments; to provide the public with the opportunity to review the alternative alignment refinements; to provide the public with information about potential passenger station locations; and to educate and gain input from the public on the proposed project. Additional public meetings were held on June 18, 20, 25, and 27 and August 21, 2002 to display proposed alternative alignment refinements.

Several planning meetings were also conducted with municipal officials throughout the Pennsylvania High-speed Maglev project area to identify significant natural and socioeconomic resources within their municipalities.

5.7.1 Coordination on Historic Resources

Coordination with SHPO, the official with jurisdiction over the National Register-eligible and -listed cultural resources in Pennsylvania, has been conducted and is on-going with regard to the *National Historic Preservation Act Section 106* requirements for the project. A *Historic Resource Survey and Determination of Eligibility Report* was submitted to SHPO in March 2002. The SHPO has reviewed the historic resources presented in the report and concurrence has been reached (Appendix B). In addition, a *Determination of Effect Report* was submitted to the SHPO in March 2003. In an April 10, 2003 response, SHPO provided comments and concurrence (Appendix B).

Coordination with the historic resource agencies will continue, and a Programmatic Agreement has been developed detailing mitigation measures for the historic resources that are impacted in the project area.

5.7.2 Coordination on Parks and Recreation Areas

During the project planning process, Section 4(f) coordination was conducted with municipal officials throughout the project area to gather parks and recreation information, including names of resources, designations, funding, boundaries, park use, park facilities, and future plans. These meetings also provided an opportunity to brief officials on the status of the proposed project.

The following is a summary list of the individuals contacted during the planning process:

- City of Pittsburgh, Assistant Director of Public Works, Mike Gable
- Hempfield Township Director of Parks and Recreation, Cheryl Kemerer
- Manor Borough President of Council, Bob Eathorne
- Manor Borough Secretary/Treasurer, Ron Costellic
- Manor Borough Planner/Development Officer, Charles W. Charrie
- Monroeville Municipality Landscape Architect, Richard P. Rauso
- Monroeville Municipality Director of Parks and Recreation, John Balicki
- Murrysville Municipality Director of Parks and Recreation, Michele Clark

- North Huntingdon Township Manager, John Sheperd
- Penn Hills Planning Department Planner, Meg Balsamico
- Penn Township Manager, Bruce Light
- Pittsburgh Department of City Planning and Pittsburgh Regional Parks Master Plan Project Manager, Josette Fitzgibbons
- Pittsburgh Parks Conservancy, Bonita Kevolek
- Robinson Township Planning Director, Rick Urbano
- Salem Township Commissioner, Ron Martz
- Verona Borough Manager, Bonnie Conway

Further information on coordination is included in Chapter 6.0, Comments and Coordination, of the DEIS and in the PTSF.